

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI****W.P.(C) 6777/2007 and CM 12826-827/2007****ARVIND KEJRIWAL ..... Petitioner  
Through Mr. Colin Gansalves, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Diviya Jyoti, Ms. Ratika Mehrotra, Advocates****versus****CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION and ORS ..... Respondents  
Through Mr. P.P. Malhotra, ASG with Mr. Gaurav  
Duggal, Mr. Chetal Chawla, Mr. Gaurav Sharma,  
Advocates for R-3/UOI.  
Prof. K.K. Nigam, Advocate for CIC.****CORAM:****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT****O R D E R****14.09.2007****Mr. Gaurav Duggal, learned counsel states that the third respondent is not a necessary party at this stage of the proceedings. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not object to deletion of the said respondent from the array of the parties. Ordered accordingly.****Mr. Nigam, learned counsel for the respondent Central Information Commission is present on advance notice. After some hearing, Mr. Nigam, learned counsel stated that it may be appropriate to remit the matter for due consideration by a Bench of the Commission to be constituted by the Chief Information Commissioner. Ordered****Contd.....2****: 2 :****accordingly. The said Bench shall consider the application and complaint of the present petitioner in accordance with the normal practice and in accordance with law. The proceedings shall continue from the stage when the last public hearing was held i.e. on 14.7.2006.****The writ petition and CM 12826-827/2007 are disposed off in terms of the above statement of the first respondent. The said respondent shall take steps to notify the petitioner and other necessary parties about the next date of hearing.****S. RAVINDRA BHAT,J****SEPTEMBER 14, 2007****dkg****68**